



भारत सरकार / Government of India

खान मंत्रालय / Ministry of Mines

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines

क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय / OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES

100, ओल्ड नेहरू कालोनी, देहरादून (उत्तराखण्ड) 248001 / 100 Old Nehru Colony, Dehradun (U.K.) 248001

TEL- 0135-2676350 / 2671896, FAX-0135-2674962; E-mail - ro.dehradun@ibm.gov.in



फाइल संख्या File No: 614(2)/MP-B-180/2002-DDN

देहरादून, दिनांक 23.12.2017

सेवा में To: श्री पंकज पाण्डे,
सहज सहयोग कन्सल्टंट्स प्रा. लि.
बी 1 /21, सेक्टर-बी, अलीगंज
लखनऊ-226 024 (उ.प्र.)
sahajsahyog990@gmail.com

Shri Pankaj Pande,
M/s Sahaj Sahyog Consultants Pvt. Ltd
B 1/21, Sector-B, Aliganj
Lucknow-226 024 (U.P.)
sahajsahyog990@gmail.com

विषय/ Sub : Submission of Review & Updation of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan of Chogund Limestone measuring over an area 2.37 ha in Villages- Chogund, Tehsil-Dooru, District-Anantnag State of Jammu & Kashmir of M/s Shankar Industries under Rule 17(1) of Minerals (Other than Atomic And Hydro Carbons Energy Minerals) Concession Rule, 2016 and 23 of MCDR 2017

संदर्भ/Ref. Your letter received in this office on dated 28.11.2017

महोदय/ Sir,


This office is in receipt of two copies of the above-mentioned draft Review & Updation of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan on 28.11.2017. On examination of the same the discrepancies / deficiencies observed have been listed in annexure.

You are advised to correct the submitted Review & Updation of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan as per deficiencies /discrepancies pointed in the enclosed annexure as scrutiny comments and submit **3** fair copies of the Review & Updation of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan within **15 days** from the date of issue of this letter after corrections in hard bound copies (no spiral binding). If the fair copies of Review & Updation of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan will not be submitted within stipulated time, final action will be taken as per rule. Two CDs of the fair Review & Updation of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan may also be submitted including text, plates and annexures. On receipt of additional comments from State government, it shall be communicated to you subsequently. In case if it is necessary to incorporate the additional information, the details of the same should be given along with page numbers.

You are further advised to prepare the fair copies carefully and ensure that it is correct in all respect. Preferably use of paper on both the side should be made. If again deficiencies are observed then final action will be taken by this office without returning the copies for correction. This issues with the approval of competent authority.

Encl: as above.

भवदीय Yours faithfully,




(एस.सकलानी S Saklani)

सहायक खनन भूवैज्ञानिक Assistant Mining Geologist
कृते प्रभारी अधिकारी For Officer In Charge
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो Indian Bureau of Mines

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ प्रेषित :-

- 1- खान नियंत्रक (उत्तर), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, उदयपुर।
- 2- मै. शंकर इण्डस्ट्रीज, प्रो. प्रदीप कुमार अग्रवाल, निवासी- पट्टी, नेशनल हाईवे, नियर तरोरा ब्रिज, पोस्ट- तरोरे, तहसील एवं जिला -साम्बा-181 133 (जेएण्डके) M/s Shankar Industries, Prop. Pradeep Kumar Aggrawal, R/o Pattii, National Highway, Near Tarora Bridge, Post-Tarore, Tehsil-Samba, District- Samba-181 133 (J&K)
- 3- उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी अधिकारी, भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, क्षेत्रीय कैम्प कार्यालय, एनसीआर, सीजीओ कॉम्प्लेक्स नई दिल्ली।



सहायक खनन भूवैज्ञानिक Assistant Mining Geologist
कृते प्रभारी अधिकारी For Officer In Charge
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो Indian Bureau of Mines

पत्र प्रेषित किया
दि. 23-12-2017

Scrutiny comments indicating the deficiencies in respect of review and updation of Mining Plan with PMCP of Chogund limestone mine of M/s shanker (2.37 hect.) in Anantnag district of J&K State submitted under Rule 17(1) of MCR 2016 & 23 of MCDR 2017.

1. Authentic lease plan with all the Khasra details of the villages duly verified by Geology & Mining department of State Govt showing the location of the lease area with DGPS coordinates of boundary pillars has not been enclosed. Authentic lease plan shall be the basis for the preparation of all the plans and sections. There should not be any deviations in all the plans and sections with respect to configuration given in the lease plan.
2. On cover page mine code is not mentioned.
3. No retrospect approval will be given. It will be from the date of approval if approved.
4. Lessee name should be as per lease deed.
5. On cover page name of lessee is indicated as M/s Shankar Industries whereas as per lease deed it is Shanker Industries. Similarly on cover page the name of proprietor is indicated whereas as per lease deed. It is a partnership firm. Thus name of lessee and status is not correct.
6. E-mail address provided to this office is not matching with the email given on page 2 of the text.
7. Consent letter from lessee is without signature.
8. Highest and lowest levels are not correct.
9. Category of mine is not given.
10. Details regarding Managing Partner is not enclosed. All the documents should be signed by managing Partner. All documents where signature is made, name of Managing Partner should also be written.
11. Postal address of lessee is not given. Pin code is also not given.
12. Exploration proposals are not matching as indicated on the relevant plate.
13. On page exploratory pit as E-1 is indicated which is not correct.
14. Geology and Mining chapter has been written in very casual manner. Lot of conflicting details are provided. Thus no specific proposals are observed. Some of the proposals are unsafe mining practices. Adequacy and matching HEMM are not observed in the proposals.
15. Modifying factor for assessing UNFC is not given completely.

28-

16. Reserves and resources as on 01.04.2012 given in previously approved scheme of mining not given.
17. Depletion, addition by exploration and R&R as on today not given.
18. Area under G-1 axis not given.
19. Resources given under G-1 are not given.
20. On page 19 under mining method, recovery factor conflicting information is given. Similarly resources under 211 are indicated which is not correct.
21. 5557 cum waste has been anticipated whereas no dump proposals are given (refer at page 26 & 27).
22. On page 20 under proposed method of mining , correct information is not given.
23. On page 24 under blasting, unsafe proposals are given.
24. On page 27 under table dump area is not indicated which is contradictory(refer page 21).
25. Pit dimensions mentioned in the text are not matching with the dimensions shown on the relevant plates.
26. Production to the tune of 33250 tonnes is proposed for the Ist year. Nearly nine months are over of year 2017-18. Thus production schedule for the first year is to be revised proportionately.
27. The mine is located on hill slope. Hence adequate proposals should be incorporated like controlled blasting techniques, erecting retaining walls, check dams, parapet walls to ensure safe and systematic mining for ensuing five years.
28. Being the hilly terrain suitable fencing proposals are not given in PMCP at para 8.3.
29. Parapet wall, fencing proposals as mentioned in the text are not indicated in the relevant plates.
30. Financial assurance need to be reviewed in the light of scrutiny comments.
31. Use of mineral on page 31 is not defined correctly. On perusal of chemical analysis, the limestone contains more than 48% CaO and can be utilized in chemical industry whereas only cement grade is indicated.
32. All the proposals should be made within the ML only.

33. The format of FA is not as per MCDR 2017. Rule 23 of MCDR 1988 is quoted.
34. There are several typographical mistakes which requires to be corrected.
35. All the annexures should be attested by qualified persons for their authenticity.
36. Two CDs covering the entire document and plans should be enclosed at the time of final submission. Undertaking in this regard by the qualified person should be given that the CD contains the same text & plates as submitted in hard copy.
37. KML file shall also be submitted along with final submission, with a print out which is to be placed in the text as an annexure.
38. By virtue of above examination it is - likely that the pit configuration during the proposal period will be affected and it will affect the area put to use thus. Calculation of financial assurance should also be made/ validated in light of above and accordingly the Financial Assurance should be furnished as per MCDR 17.
39. Annexure 5, lease plan is not legible.
40. Annexure-3 is not enclosed, preferably Aadhar card, PAN card/passport be given.

Plates

41. 3 ground control points on surface plan are not shown.
42. Key plan is not clear is not legible. Plans and sections are different from that given over text, consent letter, certificate from QP etc.
43. Surface plan, surface geological plan, environment plan are not as per rule 32 of MCDR 2017.

44. Except Environmental Plan, all other plans & sections should be restricted to mine lease area only. No proposal should be made outside the ML area.
45. The plantation done so far is not shown/ evident in SP.
46. On conceptual plan, existing plantation is not reflected.
47. Level of exploration on G-axis is not given on SGP.
48. Conceptual plan is not correct. It is not matching with the ground profile. Bench configuration is not given and the mine design parameters are also not found reflected through this plan.
49. Sections- Adequate sections are not given. It has impact on calculation of R&R and thus it is to be drawn carefully & should be implementable.

C:\Users\Acer\Desktop\S Saklan\SL Shanker Industries.doc

LL -

LL -